### COVID-19 is an emerging, rapidly evolving situation.

Public health information (CDC)
Research information (NIH)
SARS-CoV-2 data (NCBI)
Prevention and treatment information (HHS)

FULL TEXT LINKS



J Med Syst. 2020 Nov 11;44(12):208. doi: 10.1007/s10916-020-01675-7.

# Low-Cost Office-Based Cardiovascular Risk Stratification Using Machine Learning and Focused Carotid Ultrasound in an Asian-Indian Cohort

Ankush D Jamthikar  $^1$ , Deep Gupta  $^1$ , Amer M Johri  $^2$ , Laura E Mantella  $^3$ , Luca Saba  $^4$ , Raghu Kolluri  $^5$ , Aditya M Sharma  $^6$ , Vijay Viswanathan  $^7$ , Andrew Nicolaides  $^8$ , Jasjit S Suri  $^9$   $^{10}$   $^{11}$   $^{12}$ 

Affiliations

PMID: 33175247 DOI: 10.1007/s10916-020-01675-7

#### Abstract

This study developed an office-based cardiovascular risk calculator using a machine learning (ML) algorithm that utilized a focused carotid ultrasound. The design of this study was divided into three steps. The first step involved collecting 18 office-based biomarkers consisting of six clinical risk factors (age, sex, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and smoking) and 12 carotid ultrasound image-based phenotypes. The second step consisted of the design of an ML-based cardiovascular risk calculator-called "AtheroEdge Composite Risk Score 2.0" (AECRS2.0<sub>ML</sub>) for risk stratification, considering chronic kidney disease (CKD) as the surrogate endpoint of cardiovascular disease. The last step consisted of comparing AECRS2.0<sub>ML</sub> against the currently utilized office-based CVD calculators, namely the Framingham risk score (FRS) and the World Health Organization (WHO) risk scores. A cohort of 379 Asian-Indian patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and chronic kidney disease (stage 1 to 5) were recruited for this cross-sectional study. From this retrospective cohort, 758 ultrasound scan images were acquired from the far walls of the left and right common carotid arteries [mean age = 55 ± 10.8 years, 67.28% males, 91.82% diabetic, 86.54% hypertensive, and 83.11% with CKD]. The mean office-based cardiovascular risk estimates using FRS and WHO calculators were 26% and 19%, respectively. AECRS2.0  $_{\rm ML}$  demonstrated a better risk stratification ability having a higher area-under-the-curve against FRS and WHO by ~30% (0.871 vs. 0.669) and ~ 20% (0.871 vs. 0.727), respectively. The office-based machine-learning cardiovascular risk-stratification tool (AECRS2.0<sub>ML</sub>) shows superior performance compared to currently available conventional cardiovascular risk calculators.

**Keywords:** Cardiovascular disease; Machine learning; Non-laboratory biomarkers; Office-based; Risk assessment; Stroke; and artificial intelligence, "AtheroEdge composite risk score 2.0".

#### Related information

MedGen

## LinkOut - more resources

**Full Text Sources** 

Springer