Check for updates

Original Paper

Cost-effectiveness of Platelet-Rich Plasma for Diabetic Foot Ulcer in Spain

The International Journal of Lower Extremity Wounds I–9

© The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1534734620903239 journals.sagepub.com/home/ijl

\$SAGE

Renata Linertová, MSc^{1,2,3,4}D, Tasmania del Pino-Sedeño, PhD^{1,3}, Lidia-García Pérez, MSc^{1,2,3,4}, Javier Aragón-Sánchez, MD, PhD⁵, Isabel Andia-Ortiz, PhD⁶, Mar Trujillo-Martín, PhD^{1,2,3,4}, Francisco J. Iruzubieta-Barragan, PhD^{2,7}, and Pedro Serrano-Aguilar, MD, PhD^{2,3,4,8}

Abstract

This study evaluated the cost-effectiveness of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) added to usual care versus usual care alone in elderly patients with chronic diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) from the Spanish health care system perpective. A 6-state Markov model with 3-month cycles was used to estimate costs and outcomes of wound healing and risk of recurrences, infections, and amputations over 5 years. Three treatment strategies were compared: (a) usual care plus PRP obtained with a commercial kit, (b) usual care plus PRP obtained manually, and (c) usual care. Data on effectiveness were taken from a recent meta-analysis. Outcomes and costs were discounted at 3% and resources were valued in 2018 euro. Compared with usual care, the PRP treatment with the manual method was more effective and less costly (dominant option), whereas the PRP treatment with the commercial kit was more effective but also more costly, with the incremental ratio being above the cost-effectiveness threshold (€57 916 per quality-adjusted life year). These results are sensitive to the price of PRP kits (a 20% discount would make the PRP treatment a cost-effective option) and effectiveness data, due to the heterogeneity of primary studies. In conclusion, PRP treatment for DFUs could be considered a cost-effective or even cost-saving alternative in Spain, depending on the method of obtaining the PRP. Despite the dominance of the manual method, its general use is limited to hospitals and specialized centers, whereas PRP kits could be used in primary care settings, but their prices should be negotiated by health authorities.

Keywords

diabetic foot ulcers, health-related quality-of-life assessments, wound care/dressings/NPWT or TNP, cost-effectiveness, platelet-rich plasma

One of the most serious long-term complications of diabetes mellitus is the development of foot ulcers as a consequence of the sustained effect of peripheral neuropathy and vascular insufficiency. Diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) is the result of the combined effect of angiopathy, neuropathy, and the increased risk of infections, together with injuries to pressure points, leading to anatomical bone deformities of the feet.

The diabetic foot is prone to ulceration, and the prevalence ranges between 4% and 10% of the diabetic population. It is estimated that 15% to 25% of patients with diabetes will develop ulcers throughout their lives, with high rates of recurrence and amputations.² As a result, there is an increase in disability and a significant decrease in the quality of life of these patients.³

In addition, DFU represents an enormous economic burden. The EURODIALE study analyzed the direct and indirect annual costs in several European countries, including Spain, in a total of 821 patients with DFU. The mean annual cost per patient was €10 091, hospitalization being the most

¹Canary Islands Foundation of Health Research (FIISC), Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

²Health Services and Chronic Diseases Research Network (REDISSEC), Barcelona, Spain

³Spanish Network of Health Technology Assessment (RedETS), Spain ⁴Center for Biomedical Research of the Canary Islands (CIBICAN), Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

⁵La Paloma Hospital, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain

⁶University Hospital of Cruces, Barakaldo, Spain

⁷La Rioja University, Logroño, Spain

⁸Canary Islands Health Service (SESCS), Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

Corresponding Author:

Renata Linertová, Fundación Canaria Insituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Canarias (FIISC), Camino Candelaria, 44, C. S. San Isidro-El Chorrillo, El Rosario, 38109, Spain.

Email: renata.linertova@sescs.es